Synthesis of Phenols via Fluoride-free Oxidation of Arylsilanes and Arylmethoxysilanes

Elizabeth J. Rayment, † Nick Summerhill, $^{\ddagger,\$}$ and Edward A. Anderson †,*

† Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxfo[rd](#page-7-0), 12 Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX[1](#page-7-0) 3TA, United Kingdom ‡ Worldwide Medicinal Chemistry, Pfizer, Sandwich, Kent, CT13 9NJ, United Kingdom

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-7-0) Rapid, efficient methods have been developed to prepare phenols from the oxidation of arylhydrosilanes. The effects of arene substituents and fluoride promoters on this process show that while electron-deficient arenes can undergo direct oxidation from the hydrosilane, electron-rich aromatics benefit from silane activation via oxidation to the methoxysilane using homogeneous or heterogeneous transition metal catalysis. The combination of these two oxidations into a streamlined flow procedure involving minimal processing of reaction intermediates is also reported.

ENTRODUCTION

Phenols are a fundamentally important organic functional group; they are widespread throughout bioactive natural products and pharmaceuticals, $\frac{1}{1}$ of great importance to the polymer industry, and play a pivotal role in the functionalization of aromatic nuclei. 2 Desp[it](#page-7-0)e their utility, mild routes to phenols remain underdeveloped, and alternatives to the harsh, functional group-intoler[an](#page-7-0)t conditions of traditional phenolation are avidly sought. 3 In this paper, we describe an oxidative approach to phenols from stable, readily available arylhydrosilanes under fluoride-fr[ee](#page-7-0) conditions, and the activation of these hydrosilanes to arylmethoxysilanes, which show heightened reactivity toward (fluoride-free) oxidation.

Among methods recently developed for the synthesis of phenols, impressive advances have been made in transition metal-catalyzed oxidations of aryl halides,⁴ and direct C−H oxidations.⁵ However, these methods almost invariably require high temperatures and long reaction times, [a](#page-7-0)nd can depend on specialized directing groups or catalyst/ligand combinations (Scheme 1, eq 1). A phenol surrogate, which can be easily introduced and carried in an inert form through a synthetic sequence, but readily oxidized under mild conditions, would be a valuable addition to the arsenal of the synthetic chemist. Arylboron derivatives offer one solution, 6 and in recent elegant work, Oxone has been shown to rapidly convert aryltrifluoroborate salts to phenols in high yield[s;](#page-7-0)⁷ a drawback to this method is the need for the somewhat toxic reagent KHF_2 in the preparation of the trifluoroborate substr[at](#page-7-0)es.

We recognized that arylsilanes might also fulfill the requirements of a phenol surrogate. However, despite being readily available through a variety of routes including C−H activation,⁸ and in contrast to the well-established oxidation of aliphatic silanes to alcohols as developed by Tamao and Fleming, 9 there are few nonspecialized examples of the

Scheme 1. Metal-catalyzed and Silicon-mediated Routes to Phenols

conversion of arylsilanes to phenols. ^{8b,10,11} In this vein, we recently reported the first general synthesis of phenols from arylsilanes (Scheme 1, eq 2),¹² in w[hich th](#page-7-0)e silylating agent (diethylamino)chlorodimethylsilane provides an intermediate arylaminosilane which can be [dir](#page-7-0)ectly oxidized to the phenol, or converted to a stable yet oxidizable isopropoxysilane We found that complete conversion to the phenol could be achieved using substoichiometric amounts of fluoride, or occasionally fluoridefree conditions. However, (diethylamino)chlorodimethylsilane is not commercially available, and the moisture sensitivity of the intermediate aminosilane and need for prolonged oxidation times (up to 24 h) left us unsatisfied. An elegant tethered aryl C−H activation/silylation sequence was subsequently reported in which the silane products were also subjected to fluoride-free

Received: June 29, 2012 Published: August 6, 2012

oxidation; the corresponding ortho-phenols were obtained in reasonable yields, but again with extended reaction times (14− $24 h)^{8b}$

■ R[ES](#page-7-0)ULTS AND DISCUSSION

We questioned whether an arylhydrosilane might serve as an alternative substrate for oxidation (Scheme 1, eq 3). This readily available arylsilane derivative is stable toward a variety of conditions including hydrolysis, redox process[es](#page-0-0), and organometallic reagents.¹³ However, lacking the traditional electronegative substituent to promote nucleophilic attack at silicon, it was clear that thi[s b](#page-7-0)enefit to stability might come at the cost of reactivity.¹⁴ A selection of arylhydrosilanes with varying arene electron density were prepared via silylation of aryllithium or arylmagn[esi](#page-7-0)um species with inexpensive chlorodimethylsilane (Scheme 2). For simple bromoarenes 1c−f and 1j, lithiation with n-butyllithium under standard conditions delivered the corresponding silanes in generally good yields. Electron-rich pmethoxybromobenzene 1g and the more sterically challenging 2,6-dimethylbromobenzene 1k required the use of t-butyllithium to achieve metalation, while magnesiation was employed for benzonitrile $1a^{15}$ and 3-bromopyridine $1i$.¹⁶ Directed ortho-metalation provided a straightforward route to silane $2h$ from 1,4-dimetho[xyb](#page-7-0)enzene.¹⁷ Finally, one-p[ot](#page-7-0) double-lithiation methods were employed for the preparation of silanes 2l and 2b, involving sequential [ele](#page-8-0)ctrophilic trapping with acetaldehyde and chlorodimethylsilane.¹⁸ Although in many cases good yields of the silanes were obtained using these methods, no significant efforts were made [in](#page-8-0) this work to optimize these metalation protocols. Pleasingly, all hydrosilanes were found to be air and moisture stable, retaining analytical purity after storage at room temperature under air for several months.

When these potentially unreactive silanes were submitted to fluoride-free oxidation conditions, we were delighted to observe full conversion of a number of our substrate collection to the corresponding phenols (Table 1, Conditions A, Entries 1−6). The effect of the ring substituent was stark: reaction times lengthened with increasing electron density,¹⁹ and substrates containing strongly electron-donating groups, such as 2g and 2h, failed to oxidize (Entries 7, 8). In [th](#page-8-0)e case of 4-

Table 1. Oxidation of Arylhydrosilanes to Phenols^a

SiMe₂H

Conditions A or B

(see Table)

,OH

^aReaction conditions. A: H_2O_2 (30% aq., 6 equiv), KHCO₃ (0.5) equiv), THF/MeOH (1:1, 0.3 M), rt. B: H_2O_2 (30% aq., 6 equiv), TBAF (1 M in THF, 0.1 equiv), $KHCO₃$ (0.5 equiv), THF/MeOH $(1:1, 0.3 \text{ M})$, rt. b Isolated yield. Percent conversion determined by \overline{H} NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

methoxyphenylsilane 2g (Entry 7), an improved conversion could be achieved via the addition of a substoichiometric amount of fluoride (Conditions B), which accelerated conversion of all reactive silanes to phenols (Entries 1−7). Although the most electron-rich substrate 2h (Entry 8) failed to react under either conditions, we were pleased to find that pyridylsilane 2i proved well-suited to rapid oxidation (Entry 9).

Examination of the crude reaction mixtures of incomplete oxidations led to the identification of silanols as potential intermediates. This was confirmed by the independent preparation of silanol 4 via ruthenium-catalyzed dehydrogenative oxidation of $2g$ (Scheme 3).²⁰ We surmised from this that silanol formation, perhaps via 1,2-hydride migration from silicon to oxygen, is a process [t](#page-2-0)[hat](#page-8-0) may be imperative for the oxidation, and that silanols themselves might represent useful substrates. Although preliminary experiments showed 4 to be a

Table 2. Oxidation of Arylmethoxysilanes to Phenols^a

^aReaction conditions: a) $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (0.5 mol %), MeOH (5 M), 5 min, rt; b) Conditions A or B, see Table 1. b Isolated yield.</sup>

Scheme 3. Synthesis of p-Methoxyphenyls[il](#page-1-0)anol 4

competent substrate in the oxidation, it was also prone to undergo condensation to the corresponding disiloxane on storage; as disiloxanes were found to be unreactive toward oxidation, silanols were not explored further as oxidation substrates.

Nevertheless, the facile introduction of an electronegative group onto the silicon nucleus as a means to activate the hydrosilane was an attractive prospect, and we identified arylmethoxysilanes as substrates which might show less tendency to form disiloxanes, but significantly enhanced reactivity compared to hydrosilanes. The ruthenium-catalyzed oxidation 21 of the hydrosilanes with methanol was extremely rapid (<2 min), and all arene substrates were converted to the correspo[nd](#page-8-0)ing methoxysilanes in excellent yields (Table 2, Step a), entries 1-8).^{22,23} The ensuing methoxysilane oxidations proceeded at greatly enhanced rates, delivering phenols in high yields under fluo[ride-](#page-8-0)free conditions (Step b, Conditions A), with reaction times ranging from 45 min to 4.5 h. Again, the electron density of the arene influenced the rate of oxidation, but now, with an electronegative silicon substituent, all substrates could be oxidized without fluoride promoter. The addition of fluoride led to further rate enhancement (Step b, Conditions B) but was certainly not an essential requirement for reactivity.

The conditions used in the two-step oxidation procedure we had developed to convert hydrosilanes into phenols suggested a telescoped process might be feasible that would remove the need for manipulation of the methoxysilane intermediate. However, direct oxidation of ruthenium-containing reaction mixtures was not possible, due to rapid metal-catalyzed decomposition of H_2O_2 . Although simple elution of the arylmethoxysilane reaction mixture through a silica plug removed the catalyst, we were mindful of potential complications on larger scales where hydrolysis of the methoxysilane might prove problematic. A significant improve-

Table 3. Sequenced Oxidation of Aryl Hydrosilanes to Phenols^a

^aReaction conditions: a) 10% Pd/C (0.5 mol %), MeOH (5 M), Ar, rt, 5 min; filter through Celite; H_2O_2 (30% aq., 6 equiv), TBAF (1 M in THF, 0.1 equiv), $KHCO₃$ (0.5 equiv), THF/MeOH (1:1, 0.3 M), rt. Time for methoxysilane oxidation to phenol. "Isolated yield of phenol. ${}^{d}Pd/C$ oxidation performed in Et₂O to avoid substrate decomposition; "Performed in the absence of TBAF. The unstable phenol was directly dimethylated; yield over 2 steps.

ment was made with the identification of 10% Pd/C as a cheap heterogeneous catalyst for the conversion of hydrosilanes to methoxysilanes.²⁴ Although still capable of catalyzing H_2O_2 decomposition, Pd/C could be conveniently removed via simple filtratio[n th](#page-8-0)rough Celite. Most pleasingly, we found that Pd/C enabled methoxysilane formation at similar catalyst loading, rate and efficiency to the ruthenium-catalyzed method (i.e., 0.5 mol %, <5 min), despite previous less economical use of this catalyst. $24,25$ With this chemistry in hand, we subjected our hydrosilane collection to the sequenced oxidation procedure (Ta[ble 3](#page-8-0)), which gave the corresponding phenols in short reaction times and excellent yields. Of particular note is the survival of the primary benzylic TBS ether in $2j$ (Entry 8), which underlines the mild and functional group-tolerant nature of the protocol, and the successful oxidation of sterically hindered doubly ortho-substituted silane 2k (Entry 9). The phenolic benzyl alcohol arising from oxidation of tetrasubstituted arylsilane 2l (Entry 10) proved unstable, however direct methylation of the crude reaction mixture afforded the corresponding tetramethoxy ether 3l, which is a polyketide natural product (in three steps from 1,4-dimethoxybenzene). 26

As a final enhancement to the synthetic procedure, we contemplated performing the hydrosilane-to-methoxysila[ne](#page-8-0) oxidation in a flow reactor, which would enable the direct preparation of a solution of methoxysilane ready for oxidation. Initial experiments employed an H-cube equipped with a Pd/C cartridge and a solution of dimethoxyphenylsilane 2h, our most challenging substrate, in 1:1 THF/MeOH. While complete oxidation of 2h to the methoxysilane was observed, this method was limited to a maximum flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹ at 0.2 M, due to the evolution (rather than consumption) of hydrogen in the H-cube which generated a back-pressure and prevented continuous flow. The use of Celite in our batch process led us

Scheme 4. Oxidation of Hydrosilanes via Filtration through Celite/ Pd/C^a

a Reagents and conditions: a) Celite/10% Pd/C column, 0.5 M in 1:1 MeOH/THF, 5 mL min^{-1} ; 1:1 MeOH/THF wash; b) add H_{2}O_{2} (30% aq., 6 equiv), TBAF (1 M in THF, 0.1 equiv), KHCO₃ (0.5) equiv), 3 h, rt.

to question whether, due to its rapid nature, the oxidation itself could be performed as a simple filtration through a mixture of Celite and Pd/C, which would enable higher throughput without the problems associated with the H-cube.

A glass chromatography column was set up as illustrated in Scheme 4, with a layer of Celite/10% Pd/C packed above a layer of Celite (to prevent leaching of Pd/C into the product). To our delight, complete oxidation of a 0.5 M solution of 2h was observed at a flow rate of 5 mL min[−]¹ on scales as large as 10 mmol, using just 10 mg of 10% Pd/C in the column, which corresponds to a remarkable catalyst loading of 0.1 mol % on 10 mmol scale. This procedure directly afforded a solution of methoxysilane 5h in readiness for oxidation, which gave an 82% isolated yield of phenol 3h.

■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a highly practical and rapid synthesis of phenols from readily available arylhydrosilanes, which represents the first use of such "nonactivated" silanes in a Tamao oxidation. The stability of these hydrosilanes toward multistep synthetic procedures, combined with the ease of their direct oxidation or sequenced activation/oxidation using flow techniques, and the generality of oxidation across a range of substituents suggest this to be an attractive method for arene phenolation. Although the reactivity of all silanes was heavily influenced by the electronic character of the arene, the need for fluoride promotion was rarely imperative but always rate improving.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Methods. For reactions requiring anhydrous conditions, experiments were carried out in oven-dried glassware. Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were carried out under argon. Solvents and commercially available reagents were dried and purified before use where appropriate using standard procedures. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction of light petroleum ether which boils in the range 40−60 °C. ((4-Bromobenzyl)oxy)(tert-butyl) dimethylsilane was prepared according to literature precedent and was in agreement with data previously reported.^{12a} Thin layer chromatography was performed using Merck DC Kieselgel 60 F_{254} plates. Product spots were visualized by the quen[chi](#page-7-0)ng of UV fluorescence $(\lambda_{\text{max}} 254 \text{ nm})$ then stained and heated using anisaldehyde, ammonium molybdate or potassium permanganate. Retention factors (R_f) are reported with the solvent system used in parentheses. Flash column

chromatography was carried out on Macherey-Nagel Kieselgel 60 M (230−400 mesh) under positive pressure, and the solvent system used in parentheses. Proton $($ ¹H) and carbon $($ ¹³C) NMR spectroscopic data are presented in the order: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; dd doublet of doublets; dt, doublet of triplets; t, triplet; q, quartet; sept, septet; m, multiplet), coupling constant and proton assignment. Chemical shifts ($\delta_{\rm H}$ or $\delta_{\rm C}$) are quoted in ppm downfield of tetramethylsilane with residual solvent as the internal standard. Coupling constants (J) are given in Hz and are rounded to the nearest 0.5 Hz. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Fourier Transform spectrometer, and samples were prepared as a thin film. Absorption maxima (ν_{max}) are quoted in wavenumbers (cm^{-1}) and are described as s (strong), m (medium), w (weak) or br (broad). Only selected, characteristic IR absorption data are provided for novel compounds. Melting points were determined using a Griffin melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a mass spectrometer under electrospray ionization (ES) or field ionization (FI) conditions using a TOF mass analyzer. High resolution masses are calculated to four decimal places from the molecular formula and all obtained values are within a tolerance of 5 ppm.

4-(Dimethylsilyl)benzonitrile $(2a)$.²⁷ The following procedure was developed from that reported by Wood et al.¹⁵ i-PrMgCl (4.26 mL of a 2 M solution in THF, 8.52 mmol, [1.3](#page-8-0) equiv) was slowly added to a cooled solution (ice/salt bath, −20 °C) of [4-](#page-7-0)iodobenzonitrile (1.5 g, 6.55 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in anhydrous THF (15 mL). After 30 min the solution was transferred slowly via cannula to a cooled (ice/salt bath −20 °C) solution of chlorodimethylsilane (1.09 mL, 9.82 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in anhydrous THF (15 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to RT over 4 h. The reaction was then quenched with NH_4Cl (10 mL, sat., aq.), the phases separated and the aqueous phase extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford a colorless oil (950 mg, 90%) without the need for further purification; $R_{\rm f}$ 0.71 (petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.40 (6H, d, J 3.5), 4.45 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 7.76 (2H, d, J 8.0), 7.79 (2H, d, J 8.0); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –4.66, 113.2, 118.9, 131.5, 134.2, 144.5.

1-(4-(Dimethylsilyl)phenyl)ethanone (2b).²⁸ Prepared via a Parikh−Doering oxidation of 1-(4-(dimethylsilyl)phenyl)ethanol which itself was prepared from 1,4-dibromoben[zen](#page-8-0)e using a modified procedure of Kim et al.¹⁸ To a solution of 1,4-dibromobenzene (1.5 g, 6.36 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in anhydrous THF (40 mL) at −78 °C was added t-BuLi (7.95 mL [of](#page-8-0) a 1.6 M solution in hexanes, 12.7 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and the reaction was stirred for 1 h at −78 °C. Acetaldehyde (393 μ L, 7.00 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added, and the reaction was warmed to 0 °C over 30 min. The reaction was then cooled to −78 °C before the addition of t-BuLi (8.74 mL of a 1.6 M solution, 14.0 mmol, 2.2 equiv) and continued stirring at −78 °C for 1 h. Finally, dimethylchlorosilane (918 μL, 8.27 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added and the reaction allowed to warm to RT over 2 h. The reaction was quenched with $NH₄Cl$ (20 mL, sat., aq.), the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20$ mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO4) and concentrated in vacuo to a red oil, before purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/EtOAc (4:1)) afforded 1-(4-(dimethylsilyl)phenyl)ethanol as a colorless oil (899 mg, 78%); R_f 0.31 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)); IR (thin film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 2970w, 2117 m, 1249w, 1083w, 878s; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.36 (6H, d, J 3.5), 1.51 (3H, d, J 6.5), 1.82 (1H, br s), 4.44 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 4.91 (1H, q, J 6.5), 7.39 (2H, d, J 7.5), 7.55 (2H, d, J 7.5); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C −3.76, 25.1, 70.4, 124.9, 134.3, 136.6, 146.8; HRMS (FI⁺) calc. for $C_{10}H_{16}OSi$ [M]⁺ 180.0970, found 180.0969. Subsequently, SO_3 -pyridine (1.67 g, 10.5) mmol, 3.0 equiv) and anhydrous DMSO (2.48 mL, 30.5 mmol, 10.0 equiv) were stirred at RT for 15 min before a solution of 1-(4- (dimethylsilyl)phenyl)ethanol (630 mg, 3.49 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and DIPEA (3.04 mL, 17.5, 5.0 equiv) in dichloromethane (15 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 1 h by which time the reaction was observed to be complete by TLC. The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (10 mL), quenched with HCl (10 mL, 1 N aqueous solution) and stirred vigorously for 15 min at RT. The biphasic mixture was separated, and the aqueous phase washed with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with NaHCO₃ (2×20 mL, sat., aq.), dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated *in vacuo* to a yellow oil which was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/ Et₂O (9:1)) to afford a colorless oil (605 mg, 97%); R_f 0.51 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); IR (thin film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 2120m, 1684s, 1251m, 875s, 820m; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.38 (6H, d, J 3.5), 2.61(3H, s), 4.46 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 7.65 (2H, d, J 8.0), 7.93 (2H, d, J 8.0); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –4.03, 26.6, 127.3, 134.2, 137.5, 144.2, 198.3; HRMS (ES⁺) calc. for C₁₀H₁₄NaOSi $[M+Na]^+$ 201.0706, found 201.0708.

Synthesis of Hydrosilanes via Bromine/Lithium Exchange, General Procedure D. To a stirred solution of bromoarene at −78 °C in anhydrous THF was added n-BuLi (1.1−1.2 equiv, 2.5 M in hexanes) or t-BuLi (2.0−2.4 equiv, 1.6 M in hexanes). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1−3 h at −78 °C before addition of chlorodimethylsilane (1.0−1.5 equiv), and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to RT overnight. The crude reaction mixture was diluted in petrol and filtered to remove lithium salts. Purification was conducted by column chromatography or vacuum distillation.

Dimethyl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)silane (2c).²⁹ According to general procedure D, 4.27 mL (10.7 mmol, 1.2 equiv) of n-BuLi was added to 2.0 g (8.89 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of 1-bromo-4[-\(tr](#page-8-0)ifluoromethyl) benzene in anhydrous THF (50 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at −78 °C before 1.26 mL (11.6 mmol, 1.3 equiv) of chlorodimethylsilane was added and the reaction was stirred overnight. The product was purified by vacuum distillation (60−64 °C at 20 mmbar) to afford a colorless oil (943 mg, 52%); R_f 0.59 (petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.38 (6H, d, J 4.0), 4.46 (1H, sept, J 4.0), 7.61 (2H, d, J 8.0), 7.67 (2H, d, J, 8.0); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –4.00, 124.1 (q, J 272.0), 124.3 (q, J 3.5), 131.1 (q, J 32.0), 134.3, 142.4.

 $(4\text{-}\text{Bromophenyl})$ dimethylsilane $(2d)^{30}$ According to general procedure D, 5.59 mL (14.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) of n-BuLi was added to 3.0 g (12.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of 1,4-di[brom](#page-8-0)obenzene in anhydrous THF (30 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h at −78 °C before 1.69 mL (18.5 mmol, 1.2 equiv) of chlorodimethylsilane was added and the reaction was stirred overnight. The product was purified by vacuum distillation (70−72 °C at 10 mmbar) to afford a colorless oil (2.41 g, 89%); R_f 0.76 (petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.35 (6H, d, J 3.5), 4.41 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 7.41 (2H, d, J 8.0), 7.51 (2H, d, J 8.0); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –3.87, 124.0, 131.0, 135.6, 136.2.

(4-Fluorophenyl)dimethylsilane (2e).³¹ According to general procedure D, 8.23 mL (20.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv) of n-BuLi was added to 3.0 g (17.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of [1-br](#page-8-0)omo-4-fluorobenzene in anhydrous THF (60 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h at −78 °C before 2.86 mL (25.7 mmol, 1.5 equiv) of chlorodimethylsilane was added and the reaction stirred overnight. The product was purified by vacuum distillation (52 °C at 20 mmbar) to afford a colorless oil (1.78, 67%); R_f 0.78 (petroleum ether); IR (thin film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 2120m, 1589m, 1499m, 1231m, 1106m, 877s; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ_{H} 0.35 (6H, d, J 3.5), 4.44 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 7.07 $(2H, t, J, 8.5), 7.53 (2H, dd, J, 8.5, 6.0);$ ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C –3.68, 115.0 (d, J 20.0), 132.8 (d, J 3.5), 135.9 (d, J 7.0), 163.8 (d J 248.5); HRMS (FI^+) calc. for $C_8H_{11}FSi$ $[M]^+$ 154.0614, found 154.0615.

Dimethyl(p-tolyl)silane (2f).³² According to general procedure D, 5.6 mL (14.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv) of n-BuLi was added to 2.0 g (11.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of para-bro[mot](#page-8-0)oluene in anhydrous THF (40 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at −78 °C before 1.69 mL (15.2 mmol, 1.3 equiv) of chlorodimethylsilane was added and the reaction stirred overnight. The product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil $(1.41 \text{ g}, 80\%)$; R_f 0.66 (petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.35 (6H, d, J 3.5), 2.38 (3H, s), 4.43 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 7.21 (2H, d, J 7.5), 7.47 (2H, d, J 7.5); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –3.69, 21.5, 128.7, 133.8, 134.0, 139.0.

(4-Methoxyphenyl)dimethylsilane $(2g)^{32}$ According to general procedure D, 8.0 mL (12.8 mmol, 2.2 equiv) of t-BuLi was added to 1.0 g (1.49 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of 1-br[omo](#page-8-0)-4-methoxybenzene in anhydrous THF (20 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 40 min at −78 °C before 1.42 mL (12.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of chlorodimethylsilane was added and the reaction stirred overnight. The product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether) to afford a colorless oil (758 mg, 85%); R_f 0.69 (petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.34 (6H, d, J 3.5), 3.84 (3H, s), 4.43 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 6.94 (2H, d, J 8.5), 7.49 (2H, d, J 8.5); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –3.54, 55.0, 113.7, 115.3, 135.4, 160.6.

 $(2,5$ -Dimethoxyphenyl)dimethylsilane $(2h)$. To a stirred solution of 1,4-dimethoxybenzene (8.29 g, 60.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and TMEDA (10.8 mL, 72.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in anhydrous THF (150 mL) at −78 °C, was added s-BuLi (52.0 mL of a 1.3 M solution in hexanes, 72.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at −78 °C before addition of chlorodimethylsilane (8.66 mL, 78.0 mmol, 1.3 equiv), and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to RT overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with $NH₄Cl$ (50 mL, sat., aq.), the phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo before purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether) afforded a colorless oil (11.5 g, 98%); R_f 0.73 (petroleum ether); IR (thin film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 3424br, 2120w, 1643m 1272m, 892s; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.34 (6H, s), 3.79 (6H, s), 4.39 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 6.79 (1H, d, J 9.0), 6.89 (1H, dd, J 9.0, 3.0), 7.00 (1H, d, J 3.0); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C −3.86, 55.8, 55.9, 110.5, 115.3, 121.5, 126.8, 153.5, 158.1; HRMS (FI⁺) calc. for $C_{10}H_{16}O_2Si$ [M]⁺ 196.0920, found 196.0919.

3-(Dimethylsilyl)pyridine $(2i)$.¹⁶ The procedure of Kung et al.¹⁶ was followed. i-PrMgCl (8.37 mL of a 2 M solution in THF, 16.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added slowly t[o a](#page-7-0) solution of 3-bromopyridine [\(](#page-7-0)2.62 mL, 16.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in anhydrous THF (30 mL) at RT. After 1 h the solution was cooled to 0 °C and chlorodimethylsilane (2.14 mL, 19.24 mmol, 1.15 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to RT. The reaction was then diluted in ether (30 mL) and washed with water $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phase was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to a yellow oil which was purified by column chromatography (CHCl₃) to afford a slightly yellow oil (1.17 g, 51%); R_f 0.32 (CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.38 (6H, d, J 4.0), 4.46 (1H, sept, J 4.0), 7.27 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 5.0), 7.81 (1H, dt, J 7.5, 1.5), 8.60 (1H, dd, J 5.0, 1.5), 8.71 (1H, t, J 1.5); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –4.10, 123.3, 132.4, 141.7, 150.2, 154.3.

tert-Butyl((4-(dimethylsilyl)benzyl)oxy)dimethylsilane (2j). According to general procedure D, 0.71 mL (1.78 mmol, 1.2 equiv) of n-BuLi was added to 446 mg (1.48 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of ((4 bromobenzyl)oxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane in anhydrous THF (10 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at −78 °C before 197 μ L (1.78 mmol, 1.2 equiv) of chlorodimethylsilane was added and the reaction was stirred overnight. The product was purified by passage through a silica plug (diethyl ether eluant) to afford a colorless oil (282 mg, 68%); R_f 0.81 (petroleum ether); IR (thin film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 2956w, 2857w, 2118w, 1250m, 1087m, 834s; ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.11 (6H, s), 0.35 (6H, d, J 3.5), 0.96 (9H, s), 4.43 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 4.76 (2H, s), 7.34 (2H, d, J 7.5), 7.52 (2H, d, J 7.5); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C –5.27, –3.72, 18.4, 25.9, 64.9, 125.5, 133.9, 135.7, 142.5; HRMS (ES⁺) calc. for $C_{15}H_{28}NaOSi_2$ [M + Na]⁺ 303.1571, found 303.1571.

(2,6-Dimethylphenyl)dimethylsilane $(2k)$.³² According to general procedure D, 16.2 mL (25.9 mmol, 2.4 equiv) of t-BuLi was added to 2.0 g (10.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of 2-brom[o-1,](#page-8-0)3-dimethylbenzene in anhydrous THF (50 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at −78 °C before 1.56 mL (14.0 mmol, 1.3 equiv) of chlorodimethylsilane was added and the reaction was stirred overnight. The product was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether) to afford a colorless oil (1.05 g, 59%); R_f 0.79 (petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 0.46–0.48 (6H, m), 2.53–2.54 (3H, m), 4.79–4.84 (1H, m), 7.06 (2H, d, J 7.5), 7.21−7.25 (1H, m); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C −2.45, 24.0, 127.6, 129.2, 134.9, 144.2.

1-(4-(Dimethylsilyl)-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanol (2l). To a solution of 1,4-dimethoxybenzene (2.00 g, 14.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and TMEDA (2.6 mL, 17.4 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in anhydrous THF (50 mL) at −78 °C was added s-BuLi (13.4 mL of a 1.3 M solution in hexanes, 14.5 mmol, 1.2 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 2 h before the addition of acetaldehyde (1.1 mL, 18.8 mmol, 1.3 equiv), after which the mixture was stirred at −78 °C for a further 40 min. TMEDA (2.81 mL, 18.8 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added, followed quickly by s-BuLi (14.5 mL of a 1.3 M solution in hexanes, 18.8 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 4 h, before chlorodimethylsilane (2.10 mL, 18.8 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added, and the reaction was allowed to warm to RT overnight. The reaction was quenched with $NH₄Cl$ (20 mL, sat., aq.), the biphasic mixture was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated *in vacuo* to a yellow oil. Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)) afforded a yellow solid (1.46 g, 42%); R_f 0.19 (petroleum ether/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}((9:1))$; IR (thin film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 2958w, 2840w, 2114 m, 1376s, 1179s, 1043s, 889s; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.34 (6H, d, J 3.5), 1.51 (3H, d, J 6.5), 2.59−2.61 (1H, m), 3.81 (3H, s), 3.85 (3H, s), 4.39 (1H, sept, J 3.5), 5.10 (1H, quintet, J 6.5), 6.91 (1H, s,), 6.95 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –3.76, 23.2, 55.9, 56.0, 66.7, 108.3, 117.9, 124.6, 136.2, 150.2, 158.7; HRMS (ES⁺) calc. For $C_{12}H_{20}NaO_3Si$ $[M+Na]^+$ 263.1074, found 263.1072; EA calc. for $C_{12}H_{20}O_3Si$: C, 59.96; H, 8.39. Found: C, 59.84; H, 8.50.

Arylsilane Oxidation, General Procedure A. To a solution of arylsilane (0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeOH/THF (1.5 mL, 3 M) at RT, under air, was added $KHCO₃$ (25 mg, 0.25 mmol, 0.5 equiv) and $H₂O₂$ (340 μ L of a 30% w/w solution, 3.00 mmol, 6.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 to 24 h (see Tables 1 and 2) after which HCl (1 mL, 1 N aqueous solution)) was added and the monophasic mixture stirred for a further 5 min. The reaction mixture was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The co[mb](#page-1-0)ined [o](#page-2-0)rganic phases were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford a crude phenolic product which was purified by column chromatography.

Arylsilane Oxidation, General Procedure B. As general procedure A but with the addition of TBAF (50 μ L of a 1 M solution in THF, 0.05 mmol, 0.1 equiv).

Sequenced Arylsilane Pd/C Activation and Oxidation, General Procedure C. To a vial containing aryl hydrosilane (0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added MeOH (200 μ L). The vial was purged with argon before the addition of Pd/C (2.6 mg, 10 wt % loading, 2.5 μ mol, 5 mol %). After 2/3 s of effervescence, the reaction was seen to be complete by TLC. The resulting solution was filtered through a Celite plug, eluted with $Et₂O$, and concentrated in vacuo. To this crude product was added MeOH/THF (1.5 mL) , KHCO₃ $(25 \text{ mg}, 0.250)$ mmol, 0.5 equiv), TBAF (50 μ L of a 1 M solution in THF, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv) and H_2O_2 (340 μ L of a 30% w/w solution, 3.00 mmol, 6.0 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min to 3 h (see Table 3). Upon completion, the reaction was quenched with HCl (1 mL, 1 N aqueous solution) and the monophasic mixture was stirred for a further 5 min. The reaction mixture was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated *in vacuo* to afford a crude phenolic product which was purified by column chromatography.

4-Hydroxybenzonitrile (3a).^{4a} Using general procedure A silane 2a (100 mg, 0.62 mmol) afforded 73 mg (99%); using general procedure B silane 2a (100 mg, 0.62 mm[ol\)](#page-7-0) afforded 69 mg (93%); using general procedure A silane 5a (100 mg, 0.52 mmol) afforded 55 mg (89%); using general procedure B silane 5a (100 mg, 0.52 mmol) afforded 58 mg (93%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)) produced a white solid; R_f 0.32 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)); mp 114−116 °C (Lit. 108−109 °C);
¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 6.33 (1H, br s), 6.98 (2H, d, J 9.0), 7.61 (2H, d, J 9.0); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 103.9, 116.9, 119.6, 134.8, 160.4.

1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)ethanone (3b). 33 Using general procedure A, silane 2b (89 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 50 mg (73%); using general procedure B, silane 2b (89 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 45 mg (66%); using general procedure A, silane 5b (104 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 55 mg (81%); using general procedure B, silane 5b (104 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 60 mg (87%); using general procedure C, silane 2b (89 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 49 mg (72%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (1:1)) produced a white solid; R_f 0.32 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)); mp 114−116 °C (Lit. 108−109 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 6.33 (1H, br s), 6.98 (2H, d, J 9.0), 7.61 (2H, d, J 9.0); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 103.9, 116.9, 119.6, 134.8, 160.4.

4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenol (3c).^{4a} Using general procedure A, silane 2c (102 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 59 mg (73%); using general procedure B, silane 2c (102 mg, 0[.50](#page-7-0) mmol) afforded 74 mg (91%); using general procedure A, silane 5c (117 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 59 mg (73%); using general procedure B, silane 5c (117 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 62 mg (76%); using general procedure C, silane 2c (102 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 72 mg (89%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)) produced a waxy solid; R_f 0.29 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (1:1)); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 5.62 (1H, br s), 6.92 (2H, d J 8.5), 7.52 (2H, d J 8.5); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 115.5, 123.2 (q, J 33.0), 124.3 (q, J 271.0), 127.2 (q, J 4.0), 158.0.

4-Bromophenol (3d). 34 Using general procedure A, silane 2d (100) mg, 0.47 mmol) afforded 46 mg (57%); using general procedure B, silane 2d (108 mg, 0.5[0 m](#page-8-0)mol) afforded 65 mg (75%); using general procedure A, silane 5d (102 mg, 0.42 mmol) afforded 62 mg (86%); using general procedure B, silane 5d (119 mg, 0.49 mmol) afforded 72 mg (86%); using general procedure C (with dilution; Et_2O (2 mL) added before Pd/C addition), silane 2d (108 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 74 mg (85%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)) produced an off-white solid; R_f 0.42 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)); mp 56–59 °C (Lit. 54–68 °C); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 5.17 (1H, br s), 6.73 (2H, d, J 9.0), 7.34 (2H, d, J 9.0); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 113.0, 117.2, 132.5, 154.4.

4-Fluorophenol (3e).³⁵ Using general procedure A, silane 2e (150) mg, 0.97 mmol) afforded 77 mg (71%); using general procedure B, silane 2e (150 mg, 0.9[7 m](#page-8-0)mol) afforded 70 mg (64%); using general procedure A, silane 5e (127 mg, 0.69 mmol) afforded 70 mg (91%); using general procedure B, silane 5e (93 mg, 0.51 mmol) afforded 49 mg (87%); using general procedure C, silane 2e (77 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 49 mg (88%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)) produced a waxy solid; R_f 0.32 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 4.85 (1H, br s), 6.81–6.85 (2H, m), 6.99 (2H, t, J 8.5); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 116.4 (d, J 23.5), 116.7 (d, J 7.5), 151.9, 157.7 (d, J 237.5).

p-Cresol (3f).³⁵ Using general procedure A, silane $2f(100 \text{ mg}, 0.67)$ mmol) afforded 48 mg (67%); using general procedure B, silane 2f (150 mg, 1.0 [mmo](#page-8-0)l) afforded 92 mg (85%); using general procedure A, silane 5f (90 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 43 mg (79%); using general procedure B, silane 5f (79 mg, 0.44 mmol) afforded 38 mg (80%); using general procedure C, silane 2f (75 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 50 mg (92%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)) produced a waxy solid; R_f 0.39 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 2.28 (3H, s), 4.57 (1H, br s), 6.74 (2H, d J 8.5), 7.05 (2H, d J 8.5); 13C

NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 20.4, 115.0, 129.9, 130.0, 153.2.
4-Methoxyphenol (**3g**).³⁶ Using general procedure B, silane 2g (150 mg, 0.90 mmol) afforded 73 mg (65%); using general procedure A, silane 5g (126 mg, 0[.64](#page-8-0) mmol) afforded 70 mg (88%); using general procedure B, silane 5g (151 mg, 0.77 mmol) afforded 89 mg (93%); using general procedure C, silane 2g (75 mg, 0.45 mmol) afforded 45 mg (80%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)) produced a white solid; R_f 0.39 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); mp 49–52 °C (Lit. 49– 51 °C); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.78 (3H, s), 4.77 (1H, br s), 6.76−6.82 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 55.8, 114.9, 116.0, 149.4, 153.7.

2,5-Dimethoxyphenol $(3h)$.³⁷ Using general procedure A, silane 5h (109 mg, 0.48 mmol) afforded 58 mg (78%); using general procedure B, silane 5h (105 mg, 0.46 [m](#page-8-0)mol) afforded 65 mg (91%); using general procedure C, silane 2h (98 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 60 mg (79%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O $(4:1)$) produced a colorless oil; R_f 0.36 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.76 (3H, s), 3.86 (3H, s), 5.73 (1H, br s), 6.39 (1H, dd, J 9.0, 3.0), 6.57 (1H, d, J 3.0), 6.79 (1H, d, J 9.0); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 55.6, 56.6, 101.7, 104.2, 111.5, 140.9, 146.4, 154.6.

Pyridin-3-ol (3i).^{4f} Using general procedure A, silane 2i (150 mg, 1.09 mmol) afforded 81 mg (78%); using general procedure B, silane 2i (69 mg, 0.50 m[mo](#page-7-0)l) afforded 33 mg (71%). Purification by column chromatography (CHCl₃ \rightarrow CHCl₃/MeOH (9:1)) produced a white solid; R_f 0.25 (CHCl₃/MeOH (9:1)); mp 123–125 °C (Lit. 127–129 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO−d₆) 7.14–7.16 (1H, m), 7.20 (1H, dd, J 8.0, 4.5), 8.03 (1H, dd, J 4.5, 1.5), 8.13 (1H, d, J 2.5), 9.86 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO−d₆)) δ_c 122.0, 124.1, 138.0, 140.2, 153.6.

4-(((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)phenol $(3j)$.^{12a} Using general procedure C (although oxidation quenched with NH4Cl (1 mL, sat., aq.) instead of 1N HCl), silane 2j (140 mg, 0.50 [mm](#page-7-0)ol) afforded 88 mg (74%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleum ether +1% Et₃N \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (1:1) + 1% Et₃N) produced a colorless oil; $R_{\rm f}$ 0.45 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.94 (6H, s), 0.94 (9H, s), 4.66 (2H, s), 5.26 (1H, br s), 6.81 (2H, d, J 7.5), 7.18 (2H, d, J 7.5); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C −5.17, 18.44, 26.0, 64.8, 115.2, 127.8, 133.1, 155.1.

2,6-Dimethylphenol $(3k)$.^{4f} Using general procedure C, silane 2k (82 mg, 0.50 mmol) afforded 54 mg (88%). Purification by column chromatography (petroleu[m e](#page-7-0)ther \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (95:5)) produced a waxy solid; R_f 0.21 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.32 (6H, s), 4.67 (1H, br s), 6.83 (1H, t, J 7.5), 7.05 (2H, d, J 7.5); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 16.3, 120.7, 123.4, 129.0, 152.6.

1,2,4-Trimethoxy-5-(1-methoxyethyl)benzene (3l).²⁶ Using general procedure C (although oxidation quenched with $Na₂S₂O₃$ (1 mL, sat., aq.) instead of 1N HCl), silane 2l (120 mg, 0[.50](#page-8-0) mmol) was converted to a crude phenolic product which was immediately dissolved in anhydrous THF (5 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. NaH (80 mg, 2.00 mmol, 4 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred at 0° C for 1 h before the addition of iodomethane (186 μ L, 3.00 mmol, 6.0 equiv). After stirring overnight, the reaction was quenched with MeOH (1 mL) and concentrated in vacuo. The concentrate was dissolved in EtOAc (5 mL) and washed with water (3 \times 5 mL). The organic phase was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford a yellow oil which was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)) to afford a colorless oil (45 mg, 40%); R_f 0.19 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.38 (3H, d, J 6.5), 3.25 (3H, s), 3.81 (3H, s), 3.86 (3H, s), 3.90 (3H, s), 4.72 (1H, q, J 6.5), 6.52 (1H, s), 6.93 (1H, s); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 22.7, 56.1, 56.4, 56.5, 56.5, 72.7, 97.5, 109.5, 123.3, 143.5, 148.4, 150.8.

(4-Methoxyphenyl)dimethylsilanol (4).³⁸ To a solution of silane 2g (250 mg, 1.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and water (500 μ L, 30 mmol, 20 equiv) in MeCN (5 mL), under air, was [ad](#page-8-0)ded $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (18 mg, 0.03 mmol, 2 mol %). After 2/3 s of effervescence, the reaction was seen to be complete by TLC. The reaction was diluted with petrol (2 mL) and eluted through a silica plug (petroleum ether/ Et₂O $(9:1)$) to afford the title compound as a colorless liquid (270 mg) , 92%); R_f 0.21 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (85:15)); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.39 (6H, s), 2.10 (1H, s), 3.83 (3H, s), 6.94 (2H, m), 7.53 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 0.08, 55.1, 113.6, 130.2, 134.6, 160.8.

Synthesis of Methoxysilanes via Ruthenium catalysis, **General Procedure E.** $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$ (0.5 mol %) was added to the hydrosilane in MeOH (5 M), under air. After 2/3 s of effervescence the reaction was seen to be complete by TLC. The reaction mixture was diluted in $Et₂O$ and eluted through a silica plug $(Et₂O)$ to afford methoxy silane.

4-(Methoxydimethylsilyl)benzonitrile (5a). Using general procedure E, silane 2a (50 mg, 0.310 mmol) afforded a colorless oil (56 mg, 95%); R_f 0.34 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); IR (thin film, ν_{max} / cm[−]¹) 2960w, 2229m, 1386w, 1255m, 1083s, 823s; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.40 (6H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 7.66 (4H, d, J 1.5); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –2.43, 50.8, 113.2, 118.9, 131.2, 133.9, 144.2; HRMS (ES⁺) calc. for $C_{10}H_{13}NNaOSi$ [M + Na]⁺ 214.0659, found 214.0656.

1-(4-(Methoxydimethylsilyl)phenyl)ethanone (5b). Using general procedure E, silane 2b (286 mg, 1.60 mmol) afforded a colorless oil (320 mg, 96%); R_f 0.49 (petroleum ether); IR (thin film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 1684s, 1388 m, 1243s, 1081s, 820s, 785s; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.41 (6H, s), 2.62 (3H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 7.68 (2H, d, J 8.0), 7.95 (2H, d, J 8.0); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –2.36, 26.7, 50.8, 127.4, 133.7, 137.8, 143.9, 198.5; HRMS (ES⁺) calc. for $C_{11}H_{16}NaO_2Si$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 231.0812, found 231.0812.

Methoxydimethyl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)silane (5c). Using general procedure E, silane 2c (250 mg, 1.22 mmol) afforded a colorless oil (254 mg, 89%); R_f 0.51 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); IR $(\text{thin film}, \nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1})$ 1324s, 1256w, 1164m, 1124s, 1059s, 822s; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.46 (6H, s), 3.51 (3H, s), 7.69 (2H, d, J 8.0), 7.75 (2H, d, J 8.0); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –1.92, 51.2, 124.6 (q, J 272.5), 124.9 (q, J 3.5), 132.0 (q, J 32.0), 134.2, 142.8; HRMS (FI⁺) calc. for $C_{10}H_{13}F_3OSi$ [M]⁺ 234.0688, found 234.0687.

(4-Bromophenyl)(methoxy)dimethylsilane (5d). Using general procedure E, silane 2d (160 mg, 0.653 mmol) afforded a colorless oil (155 mg, 85%); R_f 0.49 (petroleum ether); IR (thin film, ν_{max}) cm⁻¹) 2958w, 1575w, 1479w, 1376w, 1255m, 1066s, 1009m; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.42 (6H, s), 3.49 (3H, s), 7.49 (2H, t, J 8.0), 7.58 (2H, d, J 8.0); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –1.19, 51.1, 125.0, 131.5, 135.5, 136.7; HRMS (FI⁺) calc. for C₉H₁₃BrOSi [M]⁺ 245.9899, found 245.9918.

(4-Fluorophenyl)(methoxy)dimethylsilane (5e).³¹ Using general procedure E, silane 2e (400 mg, 2.66 mmol) afforded a colorless oil (423 mg, 86%); R_f 0.56 (petroleum ether; IR (thi[n](#page-8-0) film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 1589m, 1450w, 1252w, 1231w, 1082s; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.38 (6H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 7.09 (2H, t, J 9.0), 7.56 (2H, dd, J 9.0, 6.5); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –2.27, 50.6, 115.0 (d, J 19.5), 133.0 (d, J 4.0), 135.5 (d, J 7.5), 164.0 (d, J 248.5); HRMS (FI⁺) calc. for $C_9H_{13}FOSi$ $[M]^+$ 184.0720, found 184.0719.

Methoxydimethyl(p-tolyl)silane (5f).³⁹ Using general procedure E, silane 2f (150 mg, 1.00 mmol) afforded a colorless oil (160 mg, 89%); R_f 0.53 (petroleum ether); IR (thin fil[m,](#page-8-0) $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 1604w, 1250m, 1083s, 828s, 777s; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.39 (6H, s), 2.39 (3H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 7.24 (2H, d, J 7.5), 7.50 (2H, d, J 7.5); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c −2.29, 21.5, 50.6, 128.7, 133.5, 133.8, 139.6; HRMS (FI⁺) calc. for $C_{10}H_{16}OSi$ [M]⁺ 180.0970, found 180.0969.

Methoxy(4-methoxyphenyl)dimethylsilane (5g).⁴⁰ Using general procedure E, silane 2g (100 mg, 0.66 mmol) afforded a colorless oil (122 mg, 99%); R_f 0.33 (petroleum ether); ¹H [NM](#page-8-0)R (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.38 (6H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.84 (3H, s), 6.95 (2H, d, J 8.5), 7.53 (2H, d, J 8.5); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –1.84, 51.0, 55.5, 114, 128.8, 135, 161.3.

(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)(methoxy)dimethylsilane (5h). Using general procedure E, silane 2h (209 mg, 1.06 mmol) afforded a colorless oil (214 mg, 89%); R_f 0.55 (petroleum ether/Et₂O (9:1)); IR (thin film, $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$) 2955m, 2832m, 1158w, 1481s, 1272s, 1147m; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 0.38 (6H, s), 3.53 (3H, s), 3.78 (3H, s), 3.80 (3H, s), 6.80 (1H, d, J 9.0), 6.90 (1H, dd, J 9.0, 3.0), 7.05 (1H, d, J 3.0); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C −1.66, 50.9, 55.7, 55.8, 110.7, 115.7, 120.9, 126.8, 153.5, 158.3; HRMS (ES⁺) calc. for $C_{11}H_{18}NaO_3Si$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 249.0917, found 249.0920.

Preparation of Celite/Pd/C Column. A glass chromatography column (12.5 mm internal diameter) was packed with 1.5 g Celite (40 mm height). Pd/C (10 mg, 10 wt % loading, 0.010 mmol) was mixed with Celite (1.0 g), loaded onto the column above the Celite and packed down (25 mm height). The column was wetted with MeOH (5 mL) under a positive pressure of N_2 .

Large Scale Preparation of 2,5-Dimethoxyphenol (3h). A solution of silane 2h (1.96 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeOH/THF (1:1, 20 mL) was loaded onto the Celite/Pd/C column. The solution was passed through the column at 5 mL/min using a positive pressure of N_2 and was collected into a round bottomed flask equipped with a stirrer bar. The column was rinsed with MeOH/THF (1:1, 10 mL) and to the combined filtrate was added $KHCO₃$ (500 mg, 5.00 mmol, 0.5 equiv), TBAF (1.0 mL of a 1 M solution in THF, 0.1 equiv) and $H₂O₂$ (6.80 mL of a 30% w/w solution, 60.0 mmol, 6.0 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h. Upon completion, the reaction was quenched with HCl (10 mL, 1 N aqueous solution) and the monophasic mixture was stirred for a further 5 min. The reaction mixture was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford a crude phenolic product which was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether \rightarrow petroleum ether/Et₂O (4:1)) to afford 2,5-dimethoxyphenol as a very pale-yellow oil (1.26 g, 82%).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Copies of ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra for silanes and phenols. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*edward.anderson@chem.ox.ac.uk

Present Address

§ [Argenta Discovery, A Galapagos](mailto:edward.anderson@chem.ox.ac.uk) Company, 8−9 Spire Green Centre, Flex Meadow, Harlow, Essex, CM19 5TR, U.K.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Pfizer U.K. for a studentship (to E.J.R.), and the EPSRC for a fellowship (to E.A.A.) (EP/E055273/1).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Quideau, S.; Deffieux, D.; Douat-Casassus, C.; Pouységu, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 586−621. (b) Rappoport, Z. The Chemistry of Phenols; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003. (c) Tyman, J. H. P. Synthetic and Natural Phenols; Elsevier: New York, 1996.

(2) George, T.; Mabon, R.; Sweeney, G.; Sweeney, J. B.; Tavassoli, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 2529−2574.

(3) For example, see: Hanson, P.; Jones, J. R.; Taylor, A. B.; Walton,

P. H.; Timms, A. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 2 2002, 1135−1150. (4) For recent examples of transition metal-catalyzed synthesis of phenols from aryl halides, see: Pd-catalyzed: (a) Sergeev, A. G.; Schulz, T.; Torborg, C.; Spannenberg, A.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7595−7599. (b) Schulz, T.; Torborg, C.; Schäffner, B.; Huang, J.; Zapf, A.; Kadyrov, R.; Börner, A.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 918−921. (c) Anderson, K. W.; Ikawa, T.; Tundel, R. E.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 10694− 10695. Cu-catalyzed: (d) Yang, K.; Li, Z.; Wang, Z. Y.; Yao, Z. Y.; Jiang, S. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4340−4343. (e) Xu, H. J.; Liang, Y. F.; Cai, Z. Y.; Qi, H. X.; Yang, C. Y.; Feng, Y. S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2296−2300. (f) Zhou, X. G.; Jing, L. H.; Wei, J. T.; Zhou, L.; Huang, Z. Y.; Li, Z. K. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4767−4769. (g) Fu, H.; Yang, D. S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2366–2370. (h) Tlili, A.; Xia, N.; Monnier, F.; Taillefer, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8725−8728. (i) Zhao, D.; Wu, N.; Zhang, S.; Xi, P.; Su, X.; Lan, J.; You, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8729−8732. For recent reviews, see: (j) Enthaler, S.; Company, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4912−4924. (k) Willis, M. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3402−3404.

(5) For recent examples of direct arene C−H oxyfunctionalization, see: (a) Huang, C.; Ghavtadze, N.; Chattopadhyay, B.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17630−17633. (b) Zhang, Y.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 131, 14654−14655. For recent reviews, see: (c) Alonso, D. A.; Najera, C.; Pastor, I. M.; Yus, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 5274−5284. (d) Sanford, M. S.; Lyons, T. W. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147−1169.

(6) For seminal work on iridium-catalyzed borylation/oxidation, see: (a) Maleczka, R. E.; Shi, F.; Holmes, D.; Smith, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7792−7793. For a recent review of arene borylation, see: (b) Mkhalid, I. A. I.; Barnard, J. H.; Marder, T. B.; Murphy, J. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Chem. Rev. 2009, 110, 890−931. For recent examples of the oxidation of arylboronic acids, see: (c) Yang, H.; Li, Y.; Jiang, M.; Wang, J.; Fu, H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 5652-5660. (d) Zou, Y.-Q.; Chen, J.-R.; Liu, X.-P.; Lu, L.-Q.; Davis, R. L.; Jørgensen, K. A.; Xiao, W.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 784−788. (e) Prakash, G. K. S.; Chacko, S.; Panja, C.; Thomas, T. E.; Gurung, L.; Rasul, G.; Mathew, T.; Olah, G. A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1567−1574. An elegant example of the rapid oxidation of arylboronic acids using arylamine Noxides as oxidant was reported after this manuscript was submitted: (f) Zhu, C.; Wang, R.; Falck, J. R. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3494.

(7) (a) Molander, G. A.; Cavalcanti, L. N. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 623−630. See also: (b) Webb, K. S.; Levy, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 5117−5118.

(8) (a) Oyamada, J.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10720−10723. (b) Simmons, E. M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17092−17095. (c) Ihara, H.; Suginome, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7502-7503. For a review, see: (d) Kakiuchi, F.; Chatani, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 1077−1101. (9) (a) Tamao, K.; Ishisa, N; Tanaka, T.; Kumada, M Organometallics 1983, 2, 1694−1696. For reviews, see: (b) Tamao, K. Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. B 2008, 84, 123−133. (c) Tamao, K.; Hayashi, T.; Ito, Y. Frontiers of Organosilicon Chemistry; Bassindale, A. R., Gaspar, P. P., Eds.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 1991; pp 197−207. (d) Fleming, I. Chemtracts: Org. Chem. 1996, 1−64. (e) Jones, G. R.; Landais, Y. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 7599−7662.

(10) For previous examples of fluoride-promoted aromatic Tamao oxidations, see: Siletane oxidation: (a) Sunderhaus, J. D.; Lam, H.; Dudley, G. B. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4571−4573. For isolated examples, see: Cyclic siloxanes: (b) Huang, C.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10844−10845. (c) Bashiardes, G.; Chaussebourg, V.; Laverdan, G.; Pornet, J. Chem. Commun. 2004, 122−123. Thiophenylcyclopropylsilane: (d) Angelaud, R.; Landais, Y. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2025−2036.

(11) For previous specialized examples of fluoride-free aromatic Tamao oxidations, see: Cyclic siloxanes prepared by ortho-silylation: (a) Ref 8b. For other isolated examples, see: Fluorosilane generated by directed metallation/rearrangement: (b) Brough, P. A.; Fisher, S.; Zhao, B.; Thomas, R. C.; Snieckus, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2915−2918. Oxidation of a trifluoroarylsilane with Me₃NO: (c) Sato, K.; Kira, M.; Sakurai, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 4375−4378. Oxidation of a trifluoroarylsilane with m-CPBA: (d) Tamao, K.; Kakui, T.; Akita, M.; Iwahara, T.; Kanatani, R.; Yoshida, J.; Kumada, M. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 983−990.

(12) (a) Bracegirdle, S.; Anderson, E. A. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 3454−3456. (b) Cordonnier, M.-C. A.; Kan, S. B. J.; Anderson, E. A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5818−5820.

(13) For recent examples, see: (a) Ohkubo, A.; Noma, Y.; Aoki, K.; Tsunoda, H.; Seio, K.; Sekine, M. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2817−2823. (b) Kawachi, A.; Zaima, M.; Tani, A.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 362−363.

(14) For single examples of an alkylhydrosilane oxidation in the absence of fluoride, see: (a) Tamao, K.; Ishida, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 269, C37−C39. (b) Tamao, K.; Yamauchi, T.; Ito, Y. Chem. Lett. 1987, 16, 171−174. For a computational study on the role of fluoride in the oxidation, see: (c) Mader, M. M.; Norrby, P.-O. Chem.—Eur. J. 2002, 8, 5043−5048. (d) Mader, M. M.; Norrby, P.-O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1970−1976.

(15) Wood, P. M.; Woo, L. W. L.; Labrosse, J.-R.; Trusselle, M. N.; Abbate, S.; Longhi, G.; Castiglioni, E.; Lebon, F.; Purohit, A.; Reed, M. J.; Potter, B.V. L. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4226−4238.

(16) Missaghi, M. N.; Galloway, J. M.; Kung, H. H. Organometallics 2010, 29, 3769−3779.

(17) Snieckus, V. Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 879−933.

(18) Kim, D.-S.; Bolla, K.; Lee, S.; Ham, J. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 1062−1070.

(19) The reaction times for these substrates, and in subsequent experiments, represent a "standardized" reaction time based on the electronics of the arene substituent; the actual time taken for a given silane to oxidize in good yield will be, at most, this time.

(20) (a) Lee, M.; Ko, S.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12011−12012. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Smith, R. C.; Chang, W.-T. T.; Muhuhi, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3104−3118.

(21) Miller, R. L.; Maifeld, S. V.; Lee, D. Org. Lett. 2004, 16, 2773− 2776.

(22) No product could be recovered from the reaction of the pyridylsilane, despite consumption of starting material. However, given the highly reactive nature of the pyridyl hydrosilane towards oxidation, oxidation to the methoxysilane is not viewed as necessary.

(23) In accordance with the original conditions for this use of the catalyst (see ref 20), these reactions were carried out in an open flask (under air) in a vented fumehood, such that the evolution of hydrogen gas would not result in a build up of pressure.

(24) (a) Terunuma, D.; Senda, K.; Sanazawa, M.; Nohira, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1982, 55, 924−927. See also: (b) Tran, N. T.; Min, T.; Franz, A. K. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9897−9900.

(25) Hydrogen gas was rapidly evolved in these reactions and consequently they were carried out under an atmosphere of argon provided by a manifold, with a wide bore connector. This prevented both a build up of pressure in the reaction vessel and the formation of an oxygen/hydrogen gas mixture in the presence of Pd/C.

(26) Mathouet, H.; Elomri, A.; Lameiras, P.; Daïch, A.; Vérité, P. Phytochemistry 2007, 68, 1813−1818.

(27) Rich, J. D.; Burnell, T. B. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 1033−1037.

(28) Schott, G.; Kuhla, S. Zeit. Anorg. Allg. Chem 1970, 374, 86−93.

(29) Kira, M.; Miyazawa, T.; Sugiyama, H.; Yamaguchi, M.; Sakurai, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3116−3124.

(30) Ishikawa, M.; Watanabe, K.; Sakamoto, H.; Kunai, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 455, 61−68.

(31) Lipowitz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 1582−1589.

(32) Hevesi, L.; Dehon, M.; Crutzen, R.; Lazarescu-Grigore, A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2011−2017.

(33) Zhang, G.; Wen, X.; Wang, Y.; Mo, W.; Ding, C. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4665−4668.

(34) Magano, J.; Chen, M. H.; Clark, J. D.; Nussbaumer, T. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 7103−7105.

(35) Inamoto, K.; Nozawa, K.; Yonemoto, M.; Kondo, Y. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11775−11777.

(36) Chan, C.-C.; Chen, Y.-W.; Su, C.-S.; Lin, H.-P.; Lee, C.-F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7288−7293.

(37) Gonzalez, R. R. G.; Gambarotti, C.; Liguori, L.; Bjasvik, H.-R. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1703−1706.

(38) Denmark, S. E.; Kallemeyn, J. M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3483−3486.

(39) Yen. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1973, 38, 3167−3172.

(40) Grogger, C.; Loidl, B.; Stueger, H.; Kammel, T.; Pachaly, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 105−110.